Team collaboration with Test-First Approach

Etienne Pierrot

Senior Software Engineer

Zlata Shtamburg

Senior Software Engineer In Test



Our offices



PRIVATE & CONFIDENTIAL

Goals

- 1. Quick Feedback loop
- 2. Share QA mindset with overall team
- 3. Microservices testing



Feedback loop



Feedback is the fuel of the development process









Feedback duration: almost instant





Feedback duration: few seconds



No UnitTests.dll - NUnit



Feedback duration: few seconds/minutes



~	codeclimate bot reviewed 18 hours ago View changes					
	found	dation/p	ath/to/file.rb			
			@@ -73,6 +87,18 @@ def other_method			
	73	87)			
	74	88	end			
	75	89				Feedback duration:
		90	+ def duplicated_method?			
	 codeclimate bot 18 hours ago Similar blocks of code found in 2 locations. Consider refactoring. 					
		Reply				
	p	ath/to/f	ile.rb	챸 Show outdated		

To enable this functionality, please see Confiduring Inline Issue Comments



Jenkins

Feedback duration: minutes - hour(s)





Feedback duration: minutes - weeks





Feedback duration: hours - month





Feedback duration: days - years



Summary

IDE Syntax lighting	almost instant
Compilation	seconds/minutes
Unit tests	seconds/minutes
Peer review	hour(s)
Continuous integration	minutes - hour(s)
QA validation	minutes - weeks
Production logs and metrics	hours - month
Consumers feedback	days - years



Summary

IDE Syntax lighting	almost instant
Compilation	seconds/minutes
Unit tests	seconds/minutes
Peer review	hour(s)
Continuous integration	minutes - hour(s)
QA validation	minutes - weeks
Production logs and metrics	hours - month
Consumers feedback	days - years



Test First approach



The TDD loop



TDD

- Push developer to thinking more about the **problem** to solve before jumping to implementation
- Produce **minimalistic** solution focus on the problem to solve
- Progress with **baby step**
- Allow developer to have **confident** about refactoring and improving design



But TDD alone doesn't ensure a shared understanding of the feature



What is Acceptance tests



Given Pier has a 10 EUR **When** Pier buy a chocolate bar for 2 EUR **Then** Pier has a chocolate bar **And** Pier has a 8 EUR



Acceptance TDD



To know more about that :



http://tpierrain.blogspot.com/2021/03/outside-in-diamond-tdd-1-style-made.html



Lifecycle of a feature





Devops

Goal: Ability to run the platform on local environment

Potential problems:

- 1. Different OS used in the team
- 2. Heavy application / a lot of services

Solution:





End to end testing and Microservices



Sam Newman 🤣 @samnewman

So writing end-to-end tests for microservices gets expensive, the tests tend to be flaky, managing them requires more co-ordination, and can lead to undermining of independent deployability. Plus, it'll still miss a load of prod failure modes.

Traduire le Tweet

https://www.twitter.com/samnewman/status/1357642975271124992



Microservices pros/cons





Microservices pros/cons





What is a good amount of microservices?

Monolithic vs Microservices





Domain Driven Design to the rescue





Bounded context

Eric Evans définition:

A BOUNDED CONTEXT delimits the applicability of a particular model so that team members have a clear and shared understanding of what has to be consistent and how it relates to other CONTEXTS. Within that CONTEXT, work to keep the model logically unified, but do not worry about applicability outside those bounds. In other CONTEXTS, other models apply, with differences in terminology, in concepts and rules, and in dialects of the UBIQUITOUS LANGUAGE. By drawing an explicit boundary, you can keep the model pure, and therefore potent, where it is applicable. At the same time, you avoid confusion when shifting your attention to other CONTEXTS. Integration across the boundaries necessarily will involve some translation, which you can analyze explicitly.





Bounded context quickly

- Prefer to deal with multiple models rather than one huge model
- Each bounded context has his own **ubiquitous language**
- In general, bounded context match with **sub domains**
- Should be driven by the communication structure of the organization (cf Conway's law)
- The boundary are implemented with a set of explicit public **contracts**
- This boundary is not necessarily a network boundary. The boundary can be inside a monolith



Bounded context



https://martinfowler.com/bliki/BoundedContext.html



Discovering your domain boundaries





Acceptance test Example



Given single use card is created When transaction is approved Then card is revoked



To conclude about microservices

- Microservices can be interesting for socio-technical purpose
- Boundaries / contracts of your microservices / bounded context should be clearly defined
- Prefer rely on Acceptance Test at Bounded Context level rather than E2E testing



Conclusion

- This practices (AT and Bounded Context) help us to have to reduce the feedback between dev and qa
- Boundaries between QA and Developers are more or more blurry
- In our situation, QA validation isn't anymore the main limitation to release very frequently
- Beware to split too early your system into many microservices
- Feature validation at very early stage improve our ability to release more frequently
- Feedback loop good KPI to measure



